

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 19 2005

Law Offices of

CHAPMAN AND CUTLER LLP
Intellectual Property Department

111 West Monroe Street, Chicago, Illinois 60603

Telephone 1•312•845•3000 FAX 1•312• 701•2361
Direct Dial 1•312•845•3919 Direct Fax: 1•312•803•5299

FACSIMILE COVER PAGE

This transmission consists of 10 page(s) including cover page.

Please call (312) 845-3734 if you have any problems with this transmission.

Date: Friday, August 19, 2005

To: Examiner: Eric K. WONG Group Art Unit: 2883

Firm/Company: United States Patent and Trademark Office

Fax Number: 571-273-8300

From: Robert J. Schneider, Reg. No. 27,383 Matter Number: 1715028

Serial No. U.S. Pat. App. Ser. No. 10/674,956 Extension: 3919

Comments:

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION ATTACHED.

Robert J. Schneider

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY: The information contained in this facsimile transmission is confidential information which may contain information that is legally privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. The information is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this facsimile transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile transmission in error, please notify us immediately by telephone to arrange for the return of the original transmission to us.

Receipt Confirmed By: _____

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****AUG 19 2005**PATENT APP. SER. NO. 10/674,956
ATTY. DOCKET NO. 1715028**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

IN RE PATENT APPLICATION OF:)	
)	
TSUTOMU SAITOU)	
)	EXAMINER: WONG, ERIC K.
SERIAL NO.: 10/674,956)	
)	GROUP ART UNIT: 2883
APP. FILING DATE: SEPTEMBER 30, 2003)	
)	CONFIRMATION NO.: 5323
FOR: OPTICAL WAVE GUIDE ELEMENT,)	
AND MANUFACTURE THEREFOR)	

FILED VIA FACSIMILE TO FAX No. 571-273-8300Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION**

This is submitted in timely response to the non-final Office Action mailed on May 19, 2005, wherein the Examiner:

1. Objected to Claims 7 and 16-20 because they recite limitations including methods but are dependent on apparatus claims.
2. Rejected Claims 1-2, 6, and 14-20 under Section 102(b) as anticipated by Lean et al., U.S. Patent No. 3,791,715.
3. Rejected Claims 5 and 11-13 under Section 103(a) as unpatentable over Lean et al. as applied to Claim 1 above, and further in view of Ulrich, U.S. Patent No. 3,905,676.
4. Rejected Claims 3-4 and 8-10 under Section 103(a) as unpatentable over Lean et al. as applied to Claim 1 above.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims beginning on page 2 of this paper. Remarks begin on page 7 of this paper.

1918269.01.01
1715028